# SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, JULY 10, 2001 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

2nd Dist. Alejandro Murillo, Petitioner

B151181

Div. 2 Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent

S098877 People, Real Party in Interest

Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. KPMG, LLP, Petitioner

B151204 v.

Div. 1 Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent

S098864 Tifkat, LLP, Real Party in Interest

Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. People, Respondent

B141951 v.

Div. 5 Aubrey J. Bryant, Appellant

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including August 14, 2001. (Cal. Rules of Court,

rule 28(a)(1).)

S012945 People, Respondent

v.

Stanley Bernard Davis, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including August 28, 2001.

to and merading riagast 20, 20

S022481 People, Respondent

v.

Martin Anthony Navarette, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including August 31, 2001.

## S024416 People, Respondent

v.

Dellano Leroy Cleveland and Chauncey Jamal Veasley, Appellants On application of appellant Dellano Leroy Cleveland and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including August 28, 2001.

### S025355 People, Respondent

V.

Edward Dean Bridges, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including September 4, 2001.

## S027766 People, Respondent

v.

Stephen Cole, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including July 18, 2001.

No further extensions of time will be granted absent a showing of substantial progress since the eleventh application for an extension of time to file the brief.

### S030644 People, Respondent

v.

Ricardo Roldan, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including September 4, 2001.

#### S032832 People, Respondent

v.

Omar Fuentes Martinez, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including September 4, 2001.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

## S058489 People, Respondent

v.

DeWayne Michael Carey, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including September 11, 2001, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on the Supreme Court upon its filing in the trial court.

#### S090636 In re Steven D. Catlin

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including August 24, 2001.

#### S091804 In re Steven Clay Jackson

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including July 31, 2001.

### S094458 In re Virginia Louise Sole

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including July 12, 2001.

#### S094877 Equilon Enterprises, LLC, Appellant

v.

Consumer Cause, Inc., Respondent

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's answer brief on the merits is extended to and including August 24, 2001.

## S095872 People, Respondent

v.

Mark Barnum, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to and including July 23, 2001.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

### S035368 People, Respondent

V.

Enrique Zambrano, Appellant

Appellant's application to file appellant's opening brief late and application to file appellant's opening brief in excess of the page limit are granted.

## S097239 In re **Phillip Mathew Connor** on Discipline

It is ordered that Phillip Mathew Connor, State Bar No. 69702, be suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of two years, that execution of the two-year suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for six months and until: he attends and successfully completes the State Bar Ethics School and provides satisfactory proof of his completion to the State Bar's Probation Unit in Los Angeles; the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205, Rules of Procedure of the State Bar, and; if the period of his actual suspension reaches or exceeds two years, he shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, present fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law in accordance with standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, as recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed March 16, 2001. Phillip Mathew Connor is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, that are reasonably related to the misconduct found in this proceeding and that are hereafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30

and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*See Business and Professions Code section 6126, subdivision (c).

## S097374 In re **Martin S. Rosman** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Martin S. Rosman**, **State Bar No. 140374**, be summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\* See Business and Professions Code section 6126, subdivision (c).